

**Beacon Falls Inland Wetlands & Watercourses
Commission
10 Maple Avenue
Beacon Falls, CT 06403**



**BEACON FALLS
INLAND WETLANDS & WATERCOURSES
Monthly Meeting
May 14, 2014
MINUTES
(Subject to Revision)**

1. Call to Order

Chairman John Smith ordered the meeting to order at 7:32 P.M.

Members Present: John Smith, Stephen Knapik, Bill Giglio, Arlene Brumer, Walter Opuszynski, and Michael Opuszynski

Not Present: David D'Amico

Others Present: 4 members of the public

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Motion to rearrange tonight's agenda to accommodate the public: **Knapik/Brumer;** *no discussion;* all ayes.

3. Comments from the Public

Jim Swift, a landscape architect/professional engineer, is at the meeting to discuss property located on West Road that does have some wetlands but it's a fairly straight-forward subdivision. J. Swift wanted to show it to the Commission for general comments or anything that they should have a headups with because there are a few things that are a bit unusual with the property. There is a little bit of frontage on Ellen Drive. There are two wetlands are not particularly big, one being in the middle of a wooded area, full woodland so it is typical of what you would see. It is fairly steep, drops 10', being a type of wetland that bleeds out of the ground. It is fairly the same with the other one but with a little bit more of defined intermittent watercourse in this one. There is an existing house and proposing four new houses, these in the 45,000 square foot lots.

Over the years, the house has experienced a lot of water coming off the hill and dug a series of ditches all along the backyard area. The way that they have dug the ditches is where they kind of end, to protect the house, and then they find their way down the street. What happens is one is fairly well-defined, comes down to the street where there is no catch basin, no headwall. After a rainfall, you see where it all washed out into the street. J. Swift feels that the Commission should look at if they're in the neighbor before an application is made. Their idea is to get it into the city system so it isn't washing out onto the street. It is man-made so they are proposing to have it picked up sooner rather than later.

J. Swift indicated that they had to develop one of lots a rear lot due to frontage. The house being proposed would be within 50' of the wetlands, and keep the disturbance 25'-30' from the wetlands with some buffer planting. He is proposing to put the retention pond down below since there is not a lot of good spot for storm water detention. J. Swift indicated that he would design it with filtration, with retention for the roofs into gullies so that there is no release from it at all. The pond would be used as the storm water control that, when doing the pre- & post- for discharge, that the 2-100 year storm will have no increase.

J. Swift wanted to get observations from the Commission, what they thought of the possibility of cleaning up the man-made ditches, and if they are any serious issues with the wetlands. S. Knapik indicated that a sidewalk would be perfect J. Swift indicated that they would love to walk the property with the Commission before getting going with the engineering plans. S. Knapik indicated that it is a good idea with recharging the land with the water but it would be only good if the ground allows it. J. Swift noted that when he suspects that there is high ground water, he would take the gullies and put them at the bottom where the existing grade is.

W. Opuszynski asked about flow calculations on that site and J. Swift indicated that they haven't done them yet but will be doing them. J. Smith asked about the house at the bottom corner, if it was the one where the water was coming from the upper corner. J. Swift indicated that there is a runoff, not being a big deal, but will want drainage there to be it down. W. Opuszynski asked if that is the only place where water is exiting into West Road or would it be more northerly too. J. Swift replied that as he walked it a day after a rainstorm, which was the bad one. He saw where it was braided out and went in a different way, but didn't see a lot. What happens is that the embankment of West Road gets steeper. W. Opuszynski mentioned that it would be helpful if there were elevation marks on the map to show where the flow is going. J. Swift responded that he doesn't have the proposed elevations but does have the contours, a little hard to see. M. Opuszynski asked how close the new, long driveway to the wetlands and J. Swift replied, with the map being 40-scale, that it's probably 12'-15'. He thought about getting the common driveway on the other side but then getting a really long driveway that came up and turned and fed the lots from a longer distance.

J. Smith noted that when he makes his application, he is going to have to show the Commission that there was a feasible alternative because he is getting really close to the wetlands both with the driveway and with the house. J. Smith also noted that he will have to prove to the Commission why that was his choice. J. Smith also agreed that they should walk the site before getting too far into the engineering.

W. Opuszynski asked if that was an existing pond there, next to West Road and J. Swift replied that it was the pond that he's proposing to put the retention basin. W. Opuszynski then asked if it will be wet or dry and J. Swift indicated dry, it will get more volume that way. If the Commission would like a wet pond, then he can over-excavate it by a couple three feet. J. Swift noted that all the times he has done this, when you need to go through the entire runoff of the construction area, and go through the 2-100 year storm, he has tried everything that he could to try and take the roof area and put them 100% into retention. He could never get enough water into those systems to make the 100-year work and needs a pond somewhere to do that. If a pond is put where he proposes, take all the water coming down, and curb the driveway and take all the runoff and route it there and make the 100-year storm work.

W. Opuszynski then asked if he is proposing to put that into a drainage system somewhere, when you get high vents. J. Swift replied yes, have some catch basins in there, make sure all the water goes into the pond, make sure the pond was a big enough size, and the outlet structure of the pond would have weirs in it. In a 2-year storm, it would come up a couple of feet through a very small orifice and go out, discharge it into the existing storm drain in West Road. W. Opuszynski asked if he would size it accordingly. J. Swift indicated that the drainage in West Road is already there, being 18", and would have to go through it. J. Swift knows that J. Galligan is going to ask him if he analyzed the existing pipes.

W. Opuszynski asked when he would be ready for a proposal to this Commission. J. Swift indicated that it could have been for the next meeting, that they are always in a hurry as you know, but want to get it right the first and not waste time by putting something before the Commission that won't fly. If the Commission would like to walk with us during this preliminary stage, we would love that and if there isn't enough time before the next because of the walk-through, then the meeting after would be fine.

After discussing the time availability of the Commission members and J. Swift, it was decided that some of the Commission members would walk the property this Saturday, the 17th, since it is supposed to rain this weekend. J. Swift indicated that all his contact information is on the drawing and will be leaving the drawing with the Commission. After further discussion, J. Smith indicated that Wednesday, the 21st, the Commission would meet at the existing house, 186 West Road, at 6:00 P.M.

The Commission thanked J. Swift for the information presented to the Commission.

4. Old Business

a. Application A-2004-248 Chatfield Farms Active Adult Dev. Phases 1 & 2 Application A-2005-255 Chatfield Farms – Phases 3, 4, & 5

Matt Gilchrist, from DG Homes/Chatfield Farms, was here to update the Commission. He submitted information on any road activity going, any water channels that are being impacted, storm systems being impacted, and utilities that are being impacted.

What stage the units that are in development are in, if they're stabilized or not stabilized, if they are excavated or not. He made a section for Nafis & Young's storm water inspection and the update as where he is completing their problems. Every drain has water monitoring and ENS inspection, and provided this information as well. It includes the things that they noticed on the rainfall and what was fixed. There are readings taken before going down to the brook, at the end of the site. The highest of that 1 ¼" storm was a 3, usually it is a 1.

DEP general permits were renewal as required, and almost up and running on the new system, probably be one of the first on the system on the internet instead of filling out paperwork. All of the systems are in good shape and working just fine. Phases 3, 4, & 5 are being reworked, and want to make an application to review the elevation changes in the grading to 3, 4, & 5. This will probably happen in July or August.

S. Knapik indicated that he didn't get a chance to get there this month and asked about mucking out at the top and M. Gilchrist indicated that they did muck out the first pond and does need to be redone after that 1 ¼" storm. Hydro-seeding was done today and hoping that it holds. Everything is in good shape and have 7 sales with the first closing on April 14, 2014. There are 6 that are in the works. S. Knapik indicated that they have to make sure they get this information because we have had a tough time. M. Gilchrist noted that he misread the approval thinking that while doing infrastructure improvements, I owed this to you, not while I was just doing housing. S. Knapik mentioned that it can be done verbally or in the mail.

W. Opuszynski asked about the report from Nafis & Young, and M. Gilchrist indicated it's the 3rd and 4th page of the information. J. Smith read that "install the soffars between lot 89 & ease ways & wetlands, stabilize the area, will not be developed within 30 days between 1 & 2". The other one was as J. Smith read "stabilize the slope behind lots 64 & 65, clean out the catch basin at the bottom of the slope, and protect it with sill fence and hay bales". M. Gilchrist indicated that both have been done.

M. Gilchrist mentioned that the last thing is, on June 4th, he would like to do an open house/luncheon for everyone on the commissions and work for the town. He will be sending out formal invitations. It would be from 11:00 A.M. to 1:00 P.M. for the open house. If it works better for everyone, we could do an afternoon instead. W. Opuszynski asked about installing fencing at unit 89 and M. Gilchrist indicated that he did that at 89. W. Opuszynski also asked about stabilizing the slopes on 64 & 65 and M. Gilchrist indicated that it was hydro-seeded today, the catch basin has a sill fence around it.

S. Knapik asked for a phone number if they need to get in touch with him. M. Gilchrist indicated that the office phone number is (203)714-6622 and his cell phone number is (203)448-6562.

J. Smith thanked M. Gilchrist for updated the Commission tonight.

5. New Applications

J. Smith indicated that there is a new application from Doug Bousquet, representing Green Construction, as well as MJL Realty who is the owner of the property. D. Bousquet is the Project Manager and the lot is on Avenue D that was approved back in 2008 and went to the Zoning Board of Appeals and got a driveway variance and a couple of variances that doesn't affect the Commission. D. Bousquet is here looking to get a permit for the house and the Commission has to look at it for storm water management. D. Keating did not sign off on it because he wanted more information showing plot plan, erosion control putting in the project, and how he was going to take care of the storm water leaving the property.

D. Bousquet indicated that first he met with the road foreman; called Nafis & Young; and talked to Mike Horbal, a surveyor from Seymour who was hired to take care of this job and did the original plan. Looking at the driveway next door to the property, down to the right, the lower end of the property, there is a 3" pipe coming out of the stone wall. Two storms ago, that pipe was running full onto the road. The road is eroded out from that line. There is a catch basin down in the corner, and there is another driveway to this property up here that was supposed to be paved; there are three houses up there. The water is not getting to the catch basin. Along the driveway, there is supposed to be a swell and supposed to be cleaned out. They dug out with a shovel to find the catch basin in that area. Not a drop of water going into the catch basin, it's going onto the driveway. He has pictures and will drop them off. A. Brumer asked if the driveway is gravel and D. Bousquet replied that it isn't paved, it is gravel. D. Bousquet indicated that he wants to do this right, maybe having the town supply the pipe, supply the catch basin, and they will take care of the problems. They will provide the labor. Drywall will probably not work there. S. Knapik asked if he is going to tie into the basin, run up the street to grab the water that bleeding onto the road, plan another flattop basin at the bottom of the driveway to grab your water coming down, and it has to be paved. D. Bousquet responded yes. W. Opuszynski asked who did the engineering on that and D. Bousquet indicated that Mike Horbal did it.

J. Smith noted that there is no engineering done as far as storm water management now and D. Bousquet indicated that M. Horbal is working on it now with Jim Galligan. J. Smith noted that, at this point, they made the driveway cut, they cleared some trees, sill fence is up, stripped the area, and moved some topsoil off the site. J. Smith noted that a building permit cannot be pulled out until D. Keating signs off on this and D. Keating didn't sign off because he didn't have all the information. It is up to the Commission how we want to approach this and is open to suggestions from the other board members. J. Smith sees that there are two ways – 1. Tell the applicant that until he gets all the information back regarding the storm management, D. Keating won't sign off on it until the information comes, or 2. Grant him a foundation permit by D. Keating, and no further after foundation permit until the storm water management is designed, agreed on by both parties, and the applicant takes care of this. J. Smith wants to make clear that, if the town supplies the material and D. Bousquet would do the work, it is not a condition that this Commission is going to get involved in. Their condition is the approval of the storm water drainage system that a professional engineer is going to draw up, not who's putting it in and not who's paying for it. The developer has to agree that it's going to be put in.

W. Opuszynski asked about the board looking at erosion control bonds due to its steepest. J. Smith indicated that we can look at anything that we want. W. Opuszynski then noted that M. Horbal is not an engineer, but a land surveyor. J. Smith indicated that there is an engineer in M. Horbal's office that is licensed. W. Opuszynski asked if there were any flow calculations done on the property and D. Bousquet replied not yet.

J. Smith indicated that D. Bousquet was the Chairman of the Zoning Board of Appeals when this was approved and one of conditions was the water. M. Horbal represented the applicant when it was brought in front of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

S. Knapik agreed on giving the foundation permit until we get all the flow calculations and feedback back from the engineer so it is not held up. M. Opuszynski asked if this is an estimate for the flow calculations and design for water will be available and D. Bousquet replied no but will call tomorrow to get the estimate. J. Smith indicated that a guy has to come in for blasting and D. Bousquet agreed and it will be easier that thought. J. Smith indicated that there is a little time there, and M. Horbal has to give an "as-built" plan so there is about 1 ½ months of work before he has a foundation to work off of. D. Bousquet indicated that he wants to get the sewer off the road, and the water, and get that done. W. Opuszynski asked if he has a permit for the sewer and the road work approved. D. Bousquet indicated yes, it has been paid for and was approved by Jeff Smith. When W. Opuszynski questioned the approval and permit again, because he was at the meeting last night and indicated that it wasn't at last night's meeting. D. Bousquet indicated that he will call Jeff Smith tomorrow to verify.

W. Opuszynski asked about the activity that they are doing on-site and off-road if there will be "as-builts" to that works. S. Knapik asked if he means where the sewer is going to be tied into the property. W. Opuszynski indicated not just sewer locations, but looking at "as-builts", for drainage, for everything, so when everything is completed, installed, you have an "as-built" plan and know where everything is. S. Knapik indicated yes for on-site but if W. Opuszynski is asking for the entire property. S. Knapik asked if it's his responsibility and W. Opuszynski indicated yes if he's doing the work. J. Smith noted that we can ask for an "as-built" plan for the storm water management, the Zoning Board ask for an "as-built" for the foundation, and the Sewer Commission asks for an "as-built" for the tie-in and everything else.

B. Giglio asked about a building permit and D. Bousquet indicated that it is already paid for. J. Smith noted that his understanding, from his conversation with D. Keating earlier, that no one will sign off until D. Keating signs off because that verifies that he has Wetlands approval. Once D. Keating gets the okay from this Commission, then he will sign off and D. Bousquet will get his Zoning & Compliance. W. Opuszynski indicated that before giving a foundation permit, he would like to see erosion control measures on the plan so that this will be followed. J. Smith indicated that it will have to be on the new plan. D. Bousquet indicated that this shows hay bales, sill fence, and tracking pads. J. Smith wants to make sure that all this information on the other map too. J. Smith indicated that hay bale needs to be put down in the corner and D. Bousquet indicated that he will do that tomorrow morning.

W. Opuszynski mentioned that there is no index behind the house and questioned the line that has the "x" on it, above elevation 254. D. Bousquet indicated that he wasn't sure and J. Smith believes that it may be a mark for that elevation.

J. Smith indicated that before putting anything to vote, to make it clear so there is no misunderstanding that D. Bousquet representing the owner of the property now, the applicant building the house, and D. Bousquet replied that that is correct. J. Smith continued if we vote to give you a foundation permit based on the agreement and accepting the agreement of the storm water management plan, the applicant has to put this in no matter who pays for it, the project will go no farther than the foundation plan and the applicant's full responsibility is this drainage. This has to be done. J. Smith asked D. Bousquet that, as the representative of the applicant, you are agreeing to this and D. Bousquet responded correct, yes I will. J. Smith added approved, designed by a professional engineer, accepted by the town and approved by our town engineer and by the road foreman since he will be working in the road a little bit. J. Smith wanted to make that clear for the record in case it comes up, that there is no grey area, that the responsibility is the applicant.

W. Opuszynski indicated that he would like to see something put in for some form of a bond where it may be from the town engineer due to the slope and the amount of activity on that lot. J. Smith is not sure if they bonded the gentleman up on the hill, but he does remember that the gentleman went bankrupt and the bond didn't carry any weight. J. Smith understands W. Opuszynski's position that if the town has to go up and put a sill fence in an emergency and D. Bousquet is not in the area, or something may need to get done. J. Smith asked if the board wants to set a bond, if the board wants a bond, or have J. Galligan work something out, or if the board thinks it is necessary. S. Knapik indicated that the standard one is fine for now until J. Galligan gets the storm water management in place and may need more. J. Smith indicated that there may be a bond required to work in the road for the drainage, but is not sure. B. Giglio asked if the bond that is normally posted only carry the project to the post foundation only, or if all the infrastructure in it, or is it until the completion. J. Smith indicated if it's for erosion control, it would be until the grass started popping out of the ground. S. Knapik added maybe until it is mowed once. B. Giglio asked if this board has required bonds in some cases and not in others. A. Brumer indicated yes they have. J. Smith noted that W. Opuszynski thinks that due to the steep slope and the conditions could require someone to go there and fix it or any more measures to it to cover the top. M. Opuszynski asked if we could make a motion and have J. Galligan fill in the number. J. Smith asked if the board would look to instruct D. Keating to sign off for a foundation permit only until the information as discussed tonight and to calculate for an erosion control maintenance bond. B. Giglio asked if it would terminate once all the infrastructure is done and J. Smith answered it would once getting the CO and the house will be done. M. Opuszynski asked with the foundation approval, the actual work and process would take approximately 1 ½ to 2 months before we get to that point. J. Smith indicated until the point where's he is finished with foundation, with gives his engineer ample time to do the drainage.

W. Opuszynski asked when he would be ready for the foundation and D. Bousquet indicated two weeks. Then W. Opuszynski asked what he activity he would be doing between that two-week period and D. Bousquet indicated that he thought about getting the sewer and water out to the street and paving the road. J. Smith asked about blasting and setting the footings and D. Bousquet indicated yes, they could get ready for that. J. Smith noted that when they say foundation, they are saying that he can pour the foundation but cannot frame it. D. Bousquet indicated yes. S. Knapik mentioned that he is three weeks out anyways before getting a footing in the ground and J. Smith added another week, two weeks, to back fill, and about five weeks to get the engineering in here. D. Bousquet indicated that he will be calling tomorrow to see if M. Horbal has some of it done.

J. Smith asked if there is any more discussion on this.

Motion to have D. Keating sign off on this application for just the foundation permit, until we get the storm water management information, and get feedback from J. Galligan, D. Keating, and Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Commission before going any further. D. Bousquet is going to put up the foundation, the Commission is going to get all the information, the town engineer is going to agree, this board is going to agree, and D. Keating agree before going any further. The agreement is between the applicant and the town of Beacon Falls on the storm water management plan and, that D. Keating and J. Galligan calculate an erosion control maintenance bond. Upon completion of the storm water management, an “as-built” be supplied to this board: **Knapik/Giglio**; Discussion was by W. Opuszynski that by the next meeting of this Commission that a full application for this. S. Knapik indicated yes or he doesn't go any further with this. B. Giglio noted that his concern is that D. Bousquet won't have the foundation completed done by the next meeting. M. Opuszynski indicated that it would be to his advantage to have it for the next meeting. W. Opuszynski asked to give a temporary application number in order to track it. J. Smith indicated that it will actually be storm water management number. According to the ledger, the storm water management application number assigned is 2014-04 under MJL Realty, 29 Avenue D, Beacon Falls, CT. The fee will be put in afterwards. J. Smith asked if there were any more questions and there were none; all ayes.

6. Comments from the Public

There were no comments from the public.

7. Business Meeting

A. Approval of Minutes

Motion to table the discussion of the minutes until next month: **Knapik/Brumer**; no discussion; all ayes.

B. Correspondence

There was no correspondence to discuss since the office was locked and unable to get them.

C. Public Hearings & Considerations of Public Hearings

There were no public hearings.

D. Administrative Report

D. Keating and J. Galligan were not present at the meeting and did not have concerns.

8. Old Business

M. Opuszynski indicated that last month they had correspondence from Rich Minnick about work being done on Cook Lane. We were not certain where the pipe was between the two properties. S. Knapik indicated that he went there the next day. There is a green pipe that is believed to be put into a drywell by the homeowner. S. Knapik informed them that they better make sure, because if water comes out of the ground and goes onto Cook Lane, they will have to explain. The white pipe is the neighbor's and the exposed green pipe supposedly goes to a dry well, but S. Knapik indicated that he didn't see it and we need to keep our eyes on it. W. Opuszynski asked where that property stands as far as erosion control. S. Knapik indicated that erosion control is up, the lawn is not planted, and the sill fence is still up and maintained. W. Opuszynski asked if it should be seeded and stabilized. S. Knapik replied yes if they have to more plans. J. Smith indicated that erosion control is in place and nothing leaving the site, and no violation, we cannot tell him to plant the grass. W. Opuszynski asked if we are inspecting that erosion control and J. Smith indicated that he is not. The enforcement officer is not present at the meeting to ask if he has seen any violations. M. Opuszynski asked in regards to the green pipe, would we be getting any "as-builts" after the project is done. J. Smith indicated that this Commission will not be since we never asked for it. M. Opuszynski asked if it is something that we can request and J. Smith responded that we can ask for a written explanation of what the pipe is for, what's its function, what's its purpose, and where it is going. M. Opuszynski noticed that the white pipe was flowing quite a bit onto Cook Lane with last week's rain. W. Opuszynski indicated that we may need to write a letter to the homeowner to come in and explain what the purpose of the white pipe is since we noticed discharge of water onto Cook Lane. J. Smith indicated that D. Keating can write a letter to the homeowner regarding the white pipe.

J. Smith asked if there was any else regarding old business. M. Opuszynski questioned the detention ponds, if the studies are all set, and if so, can we take off the agenda. J. Smith will talk to J. Galligan to see if there is any more information coming out of that before taking off the agenda.

9. New Business

There was no new business to discuss at tonight's meeting.

10. Budget

A. Reports of Accounts

This is taken off the line item from the budget and A. Brumer indicated that she will go next week and get the mail.

B. Payment of Bills

There is one invoice from D. Keating. The invoice from Lauren Classey is in the mailbox, in the locked office. J. Smith indicated that her bill was for the hourly rate and minutes for the last meeting. J. Smith indicated that even though the bill is not present, we have it included in the motion and he will sign it. D. Keating's invoice is for the amount of \$343.98.

Motion to pay the invoices from D. Keating in the amount of \$343.98 and from Lauren Classey as submitted: M. Opuszynski/Knapik; no discussion; all ayes.

11. Miscellaneous

W. Opuszynski questioned the subdivision Oak Ridge regarding the approval of the storm system with an erosion basin. He was wondering if it is accepted by the town yet. J. Smith responded that he does not know; he doesn't think, so but not 100% sure. W. Opuszynski indicated that there is a large detention basin and his concerns are that if it not accepted by the town, will they be cleaned out prior to the town taking the road over. J. Smith indicated that before J. Galligan signs off on the road, he does an inspection of all the catch basin, curbing, and we will have to make sure that he does check that. Before they are accepted, they have to be cleaned out. S. Knapik indicated that it is a condition of our approval. B. Giglio does not remember it either. He was present on Zoning for Phase II, not there for Phase I. J. Smith indicated that he will call J. Galligan and check on it. B. Giglio asked if he has a copy of our conditions when he does his inspections and S. Knapik indicated that he does. W. Opuszynski stated that prior to any acceptance of any development that we have J. Galligan should give us a report, and we should understand what was cleaned and what wasn't cleaned. J. Smith indicated that the report goes to the Board of Selectmen, not to us. The Board of Selectmen gets the reports since they vote on the acceptance of roads. A. Brumer questioned that since there are a lot of questions for J. Galligan, and that he should come to our meeting. J. Smith indicated that he had a prior commitment tonight and we can't ask for him to attend every meeting.

12. Petitions from Commissioners

A. Conservation Commission Report from Michael Opuszynski

M. Opuszynski reported that the CT Department of Energy and Environmental Protection sent a Notice of Intent. They are requesting the notice be distributed to all Planning, Zoning, Inland Wetlands, and Conservative Commissions. It states that the general permit for water resources construction activity is being modified to reflect the passage of Public Act 13-205 and 209. This means that it repeals the stream channel line encroachment program as of October 1, 2013 therefore activities that incur no longer require authorization from the Dept. Public Act 13-209 eliminates the 60-day waiting period for general permit applicants and the submission of information to the Commissioner up to 25 days prior to the beginning of the proposed activity.

M. Opuszynski also reported that Girl Scout Troop 1643 going to Lantern Ridge once a month to maintain the trail and do the cleaning.

The booth at the Duck Race worked out very well. Pamphlets were handed out and information. We are still continuing with efforts to catalog and identify open space within the town. We are also going to be redoing our library book donation.

B. Executive Session to Discuss Pending Litigation (if required)

There was no pending litigation to discuss at tonight's meeting.

13. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn meeting at 9:07 P.M.: **Knapik/Brumer**; *no discussion*; all ayes.

Respectfully submitted,

Marla Scirpo
Clerk, Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Commission